United States District Court		SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  United States District Court
AIMS Institute, PLLC, et al.,	§ 8	Southern District of Texas  ENTERED  November 02, 2022
Plaintiffs,	3 §	Nathan Ochsner, Clerk
versus	§ §	Civil Action H-22-2396
Merrick Garland, et al.,		
Defendants.	§	

## Discovery and Case Management Order

- 1. The Court has received the parties' joint status report and reviewed the parties' disagreements as to deposition topics and document requests:
  - A. The Government's objections to the plaintiffs' deposition topics for the Government's Rule 30(b)(6) representative(s) are overruled, except that Topics 4 6 and 12 are to be limited to Department-wide policies and those specific to the DEA. Plaintiffs are not to inquire about policies and procedures specific to non-DEA offices or divisions.
  - B. The plaintiffs are permitted to request documents to be produced at the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition, as outlined in Exhibit A to the parties joint status report,<sup>2</sup> except that the Government's objection to Request No. 1 is sustained. The parties are encouraged to find a creative solution to this request resolving any security or law enforcement concerns.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> [Doc. 12-1].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id.* at 8.

- 2. By December 15, 2022, the parties must jointly report the status of the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition.
- 3. By January 27, 2023, the parties may move dispositively.
- 4. By February 27, 2023, the parties may respond to any dispositive motions.
- 5. The parties may not file responses in support of dispositive motions without leave of court.

Signed on November \_\_\_\_\_, 2022, at Houston, Texas.

Lynn N. Hughes

United States District Judge